

**From:** [Nicholas, Amy](#)  
**To:** [craig.hansen](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Brainstorming on CED Reports/Queries  
**Date:** Monday, January 26, 2015 4:43:25 PM  
**Attachments:** [20140923\\_OutlineRegMechsConservationEffortsSectionJdelia\\_HF \(1\) \(1\).docx](#)

---

Amy Nicholas, Ph.D.  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
5353 Yellowstone Road, Suite 308A  
Cheyenne, WY 82009  
307-772-2374 Ext. 242

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** **DElia, Jesse** <[jesse\\_delia@fws.gov](mailto:jesse_delia@fws.gov)>  
**Date:** Fri, Dec 19, 2014 at 11:05 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Brainstorming on CED Reports/Queries  
**To:** "Hollar, Kathy" <[kathy\\_hollar@fws.gov](mailto:kathy_hollar@fws.gov)>  
**Cc:** Lief Wiechman <[lief\\_wiechman@fws.gov](mailto:lief_wiechman@fws.gov)>, Justin Welty <[jwelty@usgs.gov](mailto:jwelty@usgs.gov)>, Matthew Heller <[matthew\\_heller@fws.gov](mailto:matthew_heller@fws.gov)>, Holly Freifeld <[holly\\_freifeld@fws.gov](mailto:holly_freifeld@fws.gov)>, Amy Nicholas <[amy\\_nicholas@fws.gov](mailto:amy_nicholas@fws.gov)>, Pat Deibert <[pat\\_deibert@fws.gov](mailto:pat_deibert@fws.gov)>, Dawn Davis <[dawn\\_davis@fws.gov](mailto:dawn_davis@fws.gov)>, James Lindstrom <[james\\_lindstrom@fws.gov](mailto:james_lindstrom@fws.gov)>, Kate Norman <[kate\\_norman@fws.gov](mailto:kate_norman@fws.gov)>

Hi Kathy,

I was tasked with developing an outline for the regulatory mechanisms/conservation efforts chapter of the species report. That draft outline is attached. It includes a section on conservation efforts and the CED should provide summary statistics/metrics that we can use in this section of the species report. So, this is part of the discussion in that we need to make sure any queries/maps will be useful for summarizing conservation efforts in a way that we can articulate in the species report.

Jesse

On Thu, Dec 18, 2014 at 5:08 PM, Hollar, Kathy <[kathy\\_hollar@fws.gov](mailto:kathy_hollar@fws.gov)> wrote:

Hi All, watch your inbox for a calendar invite so we can brainstorm on what types of CED queries/reports will be most useful for the status review writing team. We have a great opportunity to work with the writing team (and others) in person in Lakewood CO during the GRSg meeting Jan 13-15\*, and I want to make the most of our time together. During our call, I would like to:

- Develop plan for making the most use of our time during the Lakewood Meeting
- Brainstorm on creating some straw dog reports for folks to review, tear apart and put back together again.
- Brainstorm on mechanics of breaking into small groups (by Population, by State, by threat, ???) to foster discussion and reporting out on their

input.

- Determine what type of "pre-work" would be appropriate, e.g. ask folks to register in the CED and running some sample queries.
- Another item that need to be discussed include how/who/when the FWS (or contractor?) will accept or reject the self-reported effectiveness determinations in the CED. Perhaps Justin and Matt can help us develop an approach to "batch review" by partner, type of action, or whatever makes the most sense. Do we want to bring this up during the all hands meeting?
- Jesse, I understand you are developing a worksheet/approach for evaluating conservation efforts - would this be part of this discussion?

\*Justin and Matt, the CED session is currently scheduled for Jan 14, Wed afternoon at 2:00 pm, but it hasn't been locked in yet. I'm hoping the time won't change, but don't know for sure yet.

*Kathy Hollar*  
503-231-6156 office  
503-961-3993 cell



--

**Jesse D'Elia, PhD**  
*Candidate Species Conservation Coordinator*  
Endangered Species Division, Pacific Regional Office  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
911 NE 11th Avenue, 4th Floor, Portland, OR 97232  
503.231.2349 phone; 503.231.6243 fax  
[jesse\\_delia@fws.gov](mailto:jesse_delia@fws.gov)

## Outline for Conservation Efforts/Regulatory Mechanisms

[Organized by WAFWA Management Zone]

1. Brief recap of primary threats (see COT report to make sure we cover the main threats listed there for each WAFWA Management Zone) to provide context for the following discussion – emphasis on *brief*, as the full analyses of threats by population will appear in preceding chapters of the species report.
2. Regulatory Mechanisms/Plans (discussion should focus on those regulatory mechanisms that address primary threats, and the principle means by which they address those threats)
  - a. Federal
  - b. State
  - c. Local
3. Summary of implemented conservation efforts that have been effective (include quantitative queries from CED if available; e.g., acres PACs treated). Also include any pertinent information from the data call. Again, focus on the suite of actions that address primary threats. Include maps showing the distribution of conservation actions (points) overlaid with threats, if available. Describe the spatial arrangement of the conservation actions relative to the threats and sage-grouse populations/PACs [If PECE analysis is needed, that will be written up in an Appendix or separate document].
4. Summary of conservation efforts and regulatory mechanisms' effect on ameliorating the primary threats within the WAFWA management zone.

[During development, there should be an interim evaluation of whether or not there are big-ticket actions/plans that have not yet shown implementation or effectiveness where we need to surgically apply the PECE policy – See Figure, below]

